PCT

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 6 : H04B 7/06	**A2**	**(11) International Publication Number:** WO 99/23766 **(43) International Publication Date:** 14 May 1999 (14.05.99)

(71) Applicant: AT & T WIRELESS SERVICES, INC. [US/US]; 5000 Carillon Point, Kirkland, WA 98033 (US).

(72) Inventor: ALAMOUTI, Siavash; 11415 Juanita Drive N.E., Kirkland, WA 98034 (US).

(74) Agents: DWORETSKY, Samuel, H. et al.; AT & T Corp., P.O. Box 4110, Middletown, NJ 07748 (US).

(54) Title: LOW COMPLEXITY MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD DETECTION OF CONCATENATED SPACE CODES FOR WIRELESS APPLICATIONS

(57) Abstract

Good transmission characteristics are achieved in the presence of fading with a transmitter that employs a trellis coder followed by a block coder. Correspondingly, the receiver comprises a Viterbi decoder followed by a block decoder. Advantageously, the block coder and decoder employ time–space diversity coding which, illustratively, employs two transmitter antennas and one receiver antenna.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece		Republic of Macedonia	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon		Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

5

Low Complexity Maximum Likelihood Detection Of Concatenated
Space Codes For Wireless Applications

10   <u>Reference to Related Applications</u>

This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No.
60/063,794, filed October 31, 1997.

<u>Background of the Invention</u>

15   This invention relates to wireless communication and, more particularly, to
techniques for effective wireless communication in the presence of fading and other
degradations.

The most effective technique for mitigating multipath fading in a wireless
radio channel is to cancel the effect of fading at the transmitter by controlling the

20   transmitter's power. That is, if the channel conditions are known at the transmitter
(on one side of the link), then the transmitter can pre-distort the signal to overcome
the effect of the channel at the receiver (on the other side). However, there are two
fundamental problems with this approach. The first problem is the transmitter's
dynamic range. For the transmitter to overcome an x dB fade, it must increase its

25   power by x dB which, in most cases, is not practical because of radiation power
limitations, and the size and cost of amplifiers. The second problem is that the
transmitter does not have any knowledge of the channel as seen by the receiver
(except for time division duplex systems, where the transmitter receives power from
a known other transmitter over the same channel). Therefore, if one wants to control

30   a transmitter based on channel characteristics, channel information has to be sent
from the receiver to the transmitter, which results in throughput degradation and
added complexity to both the transmitter and the receiver.

5   Other effective techniques are time and frequency diversity. Using time
interleaving together with coding can provide diversity improvement. The same
holds for frequency hopping and spread spectrum. However, time interleaving
results in unnecessarily large delays when the channel is slowly varying.
Equivalently, frequency diversity techniques are ineffective when the coherence
10   bandwidth of the channel is large (small delay spread).

          It is well known that in most scattering environments antenna diversity is the
most practical and effective technique for reducing the effect of multipath fading.
The classical approach to antenna diversity is to use multiple antennas at the receiver
and perform combining (or selection) to improve the quality of the received signal.

15   The major problem with using the receiver diversity approach in current
wireless communication systems, such as IS-136 and GSM, is the cost, size and
power consumption constraints of the receivers. For obvious reasons, small size,
weight and cost are paramount. The addition of multiple antennas and RF chains (or
selection and switching circuits) in receivers is presently not be feasible. As a result,
20   diversity techniques have often been applied only to improve the up-link (receiver to
base) transmission quality with multiple antennas (and receivers) at the base station.
Since a base station often serves thousands of receivers, it is more economical to add
equipment to base stations rather than the receivers

          Recently, some interesting approaches for transmitter diversity have been
25   suggested. A delay diversity scheme was proposed by A. Wittneben in "Base
Station Modulation Diversity for Digital SIMULCAST," Proceeding of the 1991
IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC 41st), PP. 848-853, May 1991, and
in "A New Bandwidth Efficient Transmit Antenna Modulation Diversity Scheme
For Linear Digital Modulation," in Proceeding of the 1993 IEEE International
30   Conference on Communications (IICC '93), PP. 1630-1634, May 1993. The
proposal is for a base station to transmit a sequence of symbols through one antenna,
and the same sequence of symbols –but delayed – through another antenna.

          U.S. patent 5,479,448, issued to Nambirajan Seshadri on December 26,
1995, discloses a similar arrangement where a sequence of codes is transmitted
35   through two antennas. The sequence of codes is routed through a cycling switch that
directs each code to the various antennas, in succession. Since copies of the same

5   symbol are transmitted through multiple antennas at different times, both space and
time diversity are achieved. A maximum likelihood sequence estimator (MLSE) or
a minimum mean squared error (MMSE) equalizer is then used to resolve multipath
distortion and provide diversity gain. See also N. Seshadri, J.H. Winters, "Two
Signaling Schemes for Improving the Error Performance of FDD Transmission

10   Systems Using Transmitter Antenna Diversity," *Proceeding of the 1993 IEEE
Vehicular Technology Conference* (VTC 43rd), pp. 508-511, May 1993; and J. H.
Winters, "The Diversity Gain of Transmit Diversity in Wireless Systems with
Rayleigh Fading," *Proceeding of the 1994 ICC/SUPERCOMM*, New Orleans, Vol.
2, PP. 1121-1125, May 1994.

15   Still another interesting approach is disclosed by Tarokh, Seshadri,
Calderbank and Naguib in U.S. application, serial number 08/847635, filed April 25,
1997 (based on a provisional application filed November 7, 1996), where symbols
are encoded according to the antennas through which they are simultaneously
transmitted, and are decoded using a maximum likelihood decoder. More

20   specifically, the process at the transmitter handles the information in blocks of M1
bits, where M1 is a multiple of M2, i.e., M1=k*M2. It converts each successive
group of M2 bits into information symbols (generating thereby k information
symbols), encodes each sequence of k information symbols into n channel codes
(developing thereby a group of n channel codes for each sequence of k information

25   symbols), and applies each code of a group of codes to a different antenna.

                Yet another approach is disclosed by Alamouti and Tarokh in U.S.
application, serial number 09/074,224, filed May 5, 1998, and titled "Transmitter
Diversity Technique for Wireless Communications" where symbols are encoded
using only negations and conjugations, and transmitted in a manner that employs

30   channel diversity.

                Still another approach is disclosed by the last-mentioned inventors in a US
application filed July 14, 1998, based on provisional application 60/052,689 filed
July 17, 1997, titled "Combined Array Processing and Space-Time Coding" where
symbols are divided into groups, where each group is transmitted over a separate

35   group of antennas and is encoded with a group code C that is a member of a product
code.

5

Summary

An advance in the art is realized with a transmitter that employs a trellis coder followed by a block coder. Correspondingly, the receiver comprises a Viterbi decoder followed by a block decoder. Advantageously, the block coder and decoder
10 employ time-space diversity coding which, illustratively, employs two transmitter antennas and one receiver antenna.

Brief Description of the Drawings

FIG. 1 presents a block diagram of an embodiment in conformance with the
15 principles of this invention.

Detail Description

FIG. 1 presents a block diagram of an arrangement comporting with the principles of this invention. It comprises a trellis code modulation (TCM) encoder
20 10 followed by a two-branch space block encoder 20. The output is applied to antenna circuitry 30, which feeds antenna 31, and antenna 32. FIG. 1 shows only two antennas, but this is merely illustrative. Arrangements can be had with a larger number of antennas, and it should be understood that the principles disclosed herein apply with equal advantage to such arrangements.

25   TCM encoder 10 generates complex numbers that represent constellation symbols, and block encoder 20 encodes (adjacent) pairs of symbols in the manner described in the aforementioned 09/074,224 application. That is, symbols s_0 and s_1, forming a pair, are sent to antenna 31 and antenna 32, respectively, and in the following time period symbols $-s_1^*$ and s_0^* are sent to antennas 31 and 32,
30 respectively. Thereafter, symbols s_2 and s_3 are sent to antenna 31 and 32, respectively, etc. Thus, encoder 20 creates channel diversity that results from

5   signals traversing from the transmitter to the receiver at different times and over

different channels.

The signals transmitted by antennas 31 and 32 are received by a receiver

after traversing the airlink and suffering a multiplicative distortion and additive

noise. Hence, the received signals at the two consecutive time intervals during

10   which the signals s_0, s_1,

$-s_1^*$, and s_0^* are sent correspond to:

$$r_0(t) = h_0 s_0 + h_1 s_1 + n_0,$$

(1)

and $$r_1(t) = h_1 s_0^* - h_0 s_1^* + n_1,$$

15   (2)

where h_0 represents the channel from antenna 31, h_1 represents the channel from

antenna 32, n_0 is the received noise at the first time interval, and n_1 is the received

noise at the second time interval.

The receiver comprises a receive antenna 40, a two-branch space block

20   combiner 50, and a Viterbi decoder 60. The receiver also includes a channel

estimator; but since that is perfectly conventional and does not form a part of the

invention, FIG. 1 does not explicitly show it. The following assumes that the

receiver possesses $\widetilde{h}_0$ and $\widetilde{h}_1$, which are estimates of h_0 and h_1, respectively. Thus,

the received signals at the first and second time intervals are combined in element 50

25   to form signals

$$\widetilde{s}_0 = \widetilde{h}_0^* r_0 + \widetilde{h}_1 r_1^*$$

(3)

and $$\widetilde{s}_1 = \widetilde{h}_1^* r_0 - \widetilde{h}_0 r_1^*,$$

(4)

30   and those signals are applied to Viterbi decoder 60.

The Viterbi decoder builds the following metric for the hypothesized branch

symbol s_i corresponding to the first transmitted symbol s_0:

5
$$M(s_0, s_i) = d^2[\widetilde{s_0}, (|\widetilde{h_0}|^2 + |\widetilde{h_1}|^2)s_i].$$

(5)

Similarly, the Viterbi decoder builds the following metric for the hypothesized branch symbol s_i corresponding to the first transmitted symbol s_1:

$$M(s_1, s_i) = d^2[\widetilde{s_1}, (|\widetilde{h_0}|^2 + |\widetilde{h_1}|^2)s_i].$$

10
(6)

(Additional metrics are similarly constructed in arrangements that employ a larger number of antennas and a correspondingly larger constellation of signals transmitted at any one time.) If Trellis encoder 10 is a multiple TCM encoder, then the Viterbi decoder builds the following metric:

15
$$M[(s_0, s_1), (s_i, s_j)] = M(s_0, s_i) + M(s_1, s_j).$$

(7)

or equivalently,

$$M[(s_0, s_1), (s_i, s_j)] = d^2(r_0, \widetilde{h_0}s_i + \widetilde{h_1}s_j) + d^2(r_1, \widetilde{h_1}s_i^* - \widetilde{h_0}s_j^*).$$

(8)

20   The Viterbi decoder outputs estimates of the transmitted sequence of signals.

The above presented an illustrative embodiment. However, it should be understood that various modifications and alternations might be made by a skilled artisan without departing from the spirit and scope of this invention.

5   <u>We claim:</u>

1. A transmitter comprising:

a trellis encoder, and

a block encoder responsive to said trellis encoder and adapted to feed a

10   plurality of antennas.

2. The transmitter of claim 1 further comprising said plurality of antennas.

3. The transmitter of claim 1 where said trellis encoder is a multiple trellis

15   code modulation encoder.

4. The transmitter of claim 1 where the block encoder is a multi--branch

block encoder.

20   5. The transmitter of claim 1 where the block encoder is a space-time block

encoder.

6. The transmitter of claim 1 where said block encoder encodes sequences of

consecutive symbols developed by said trellis encoder.

25

7. A receiver comprising:

a receiving block combiner, and

a Viterbi decoder responsive to output signals of said block decoder.

30   8. The receiver of claim 7 where said combiner combines a frame of

received symbols, where the frame consists of n time slots and in each time slot

concurrently provides m symbols to said combiner.

9. The receiver of claim 8 where n=m.

35

10. The receiver of claim 9 where n=m=2.

11. The receiver of claim 8 where said combiner develops n signals that represent estimates of signals transmitted by a transmitter.

12. The receiver of claim 7 where said Viterbi decoder generates a separate metric for soft decision of a transmitted symbol.

13. The receiver of claims 7 where the Viterbi decoder is a multiple trellis code modulation decoder 1

14. The receiver of claim 13 where said Viterbi decoder develops the metric

$$M[(s_0,s_1),(s_i,s_j)] = d^2(r_0, \widetilde{h}_0 s_i + \widetilde{h}_1 s_j) + d^2(r_1, \widetilde{h}_1 s_i^* - \widetilde{h}_0 s_j^*) ,$$ where s_i is a hypothesized

signal at a first time interval, s_j is a hypothesized signal at a second time interval, s_0 is a transmitted signal at the first time interval, where s_1 is a transmitted signal at the second time interval, $\widetilde{h}_0$ is an estimate of channel characteristics between a transmitting antenna that transmits signal s_0 and a receiving antenna of said receiver, and $\widetilde{h}_1$ is an estimate of channel characteristics between a transmitting antenna that transmits signal s_1 and said receiving antenna of said receiver.

15. The receiver of claim 7 where said Viterbi decoder develops the metric

$$M(s_0, s_i) = d^2[\widetilde{s}_0, (|\widetilde{h}_0|^2 + |\widetilde{h}_1|^2) s_i]$$ to recover the symbol s_0, and the metric

$$M(s_1, s_i) = d^2[\widetilde{s}_1, (|\widetilde{h}_0|^2 + |\widetilde{h}_1|^2) s_i]$$ to recover the symbol s_1, where s_i is a

hypothesized signal at a first time interval, s_j is a hypothesized signal at a second time interval, s_0 is a transmitted signal at the first time interval, where s_1 is a transmitted signal at the second time interval, $\widetilde{h}_0$ is an estimate of channel characteristics between a transmitting antenna that transmits signal s_0 and a receiving antenna of said receiver, and $\widetilde{h}_1$ is an estimate of channel characteristics

5   between a transmitting antenna that transmits signal s_1 and said receiving antenna of
said receiver.

16. The receiver of claim 7 said Viterbi decoder develops the metric

$$M[(s_0,s_1),(s_i,s_j)] = M(s_0,s_i) + M(s_1,s_j), \text{ where } M(s_0,s_i) = d^2[\tilde{s}_0,(|\tilde{h}_0|^2 + |\tilde{h}_1|^2)s_i],$$

10   $M(s_1,s_i) = d^2[\tilde{s}_1,(|\tilde{h}_0|^2 + |\tilde{h}_1|^2)s_i]$, s_i is a hypothesized signal at a first time interval,

s_j is a hypothesized signal at a second time interval, s_0 is a transmitted signal at the

first time interval, where s_1 is a transmitted signal at the second time interval, $\tilde{h}_o$ is

an estimate of channel characteristics between a transmitting antenna that transmits

signal s_0 and a receiving antenna of said receiver, $\tilde{h}_1$ is an estimate of channel

15   characteristics between a transmitting antenna that transmits signal s_1 and said

receiving antenna of said receiver, $\tilde{s}_0$ is one signal developed by said combiner, and

$\tilde{s}_1$ is another signal developed by said combiner.

17. The receiver of claim 7 where the combiner creates signals

20   $\tilde{s}_0 = \tilde{h}_0^* r_0 + \tilde{h}_1 r_1^*$ and $\tilde{s}_1 = \tilde{h}_1^* r_0 - \tilde{h}_0 r_1^*$, where r_0 is a received signal at one time

interval, is a received signal at another time interval, $\tilde{h}_o$ is an estimate of channel

characteristics between a transmitting antenna that transmits signal s_0 and a

receiving antenna of said receiver, and $\tilde{h}_1$ is an estimate of channel characteristics

between a transmitting antenna that transmits signal s_1 and said receiving antenna of

25   said receiver.

1/1

FIG. 1